

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/828,272	NAKAJIMA, TOSHIHARU	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tuan C. To	3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tuan C. To. (3) Wei-Chen Nicholas Chen.  
 (2) Jack, Keith (SPE). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ohtsuji et al. (US 20020156570A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The cited reference to Ohtsuji et al. teaches interactive voice response device which is the car terminal device (100) configured to allow a user to customize audio guidance (figure 8). The second reference to Morimoto et al. (US 6351706B1) has been found teaches the newly added limitation "interactive voice response device configured....to store the customized audio guidance in a user information storage device for use in all subsequent dialogue with the user" (column 4, lines 59-67). The application is set in a condition of final rejection. .

Patent Examiners

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. M. AUST', is written over a horizontal line.

MAN C TO